Table of Contents

Table of Contents	i
1.10 Introduction	1
1.10.2 Objective	
1.11 Quality Control and Management Personnel Identified in Plan	
Quality Control Manager(s) for HUD and Investor Reporting	
Section 1.20 – Post Closing Quality Control Review Program	
1.21 - Audit Coverage/Scope	
1.21.2 Federal Law Compliance Function	
1.22 – Selection Methodology	5
1.22.2 Early Payment Default	
1.22.4 "Higher Risk" Criteria Loans Selected by Quality Control	
1.22.5 Higher Risk Transactions Selected by Credit Policy as Warranted	
1.22.6 Streamline Refinances	
1.22.7 Special Handling for 203(k) Rehabilitation Transactions	
1.22.8 Selection of Third Party Originator Transactions	
1.23 - Loan Sampling/Selection Procedure	
1.23.1 Post Closing Audit Selection Procedure	
1.23.2 Pipeline Selection Tool	
1.24 Loan Level Quality Control Review Procedure	10
1.24.1 Post Closing Review Process	
1.24.2 – Post Closing Loan Level Quality Control Review Form and Findings Report	
1.24.21 Quality Control File Order/Checklist	
1.24.22 Quality Control Credit Report Order Log	
1.24.23 Request for Re-verification of Income or Assets	
1.24.24 Appraisal Review Request	
1.24.24.1 Appraisal Request Log	
1.24.24.2 Appraisal Desk Reviews and Field Reviews	
1.24.24.21 FNMA Field Reviews	
1.24.24.3 Desk Review of Appraisal	23
1.24.24.4 Appraiser Independence Rules	
1.24.4 Tax Return Authenticity Process (4506T)	25
1.24.41 Tax Return Authenticity in Post-Closing Review	
1.24.5 Owner Occupancy Re-Verification	
1.24.6 Timing of Post-Closing Audits	27
1.24.61 Audit Schedule	27
1.24.63 Completion of Review	
1.24.64 Special Procedure – Early Default	
1.24.65 Special Procedure – Pattern of Deficiencies or Fraud	
1.24.65.1 Pattern of Fraud	
1.25 Production (Pre-Funding) Quality Control Review Elements	29
1.25.1 Quality Control Review	29
1.25.21 Validation of Borrower's Social Security Number	
125.22 Verbal Verification of Employment	
1.25.31 Reviewing and Analyzing Automated Underwriting Findings	31
1.25.33 Validation of Income Computation Method	
1.25.4 Pre-Funding Production and Post-Closing Quality Control Review Checklist	
Inclusion of Specific HUD/FHA Requirements in Review Process	
HUD 4060.1 REV-2 Requirements	
1.25.4 Pre-Funding Production and Post Closing Quality Control Review Checklist	34

Pre-Underwriting Review Checklist	
1.25.5 Pre-Funding/Pre-Closing Quality Control Review	43
1.25.52 Pre-Funding/Closing Document Review Checklist	45
1.25.6 Post-Closing Loan Document Review	
1.26 Corrective Action and Report Management	
1.26.2 Corrective Action and Time Frames	
1.26.21 - Defects/Findings – Severity Rating and Target Rates	
1.26.22 - Target Rates for Corrective Action	
1.26.3 Notification to HUD or Other Agency of Significant Discrepancies	51
1.26.4 FNMA Reporting of Findings	
1.26.41 FNMA QC Reporting Process	
1.26.43 Significant Findings-Fraud Reporting	
1.27 Quality Control Personnel	
1.27.1 Production Personnel Separated by Plan	
1.27.2 Disbarred Employees/Ineligible Participants	
1.27.21 Periodic Review of Employee Performance	
1.27.31 Qualifications of QC Employees	
1.27.4 Use of Outside Firms to Perform Quality Control Review	
1.27.41 Review/Audit of Third Party Auditor	
1.28 Financial and Compliance Audit	
1.28.1 Review of Rejected Loans	
1.28.3 State Audit Compliance Preparation Checklist	
1.29 Employee Resources – Training	
Resources	
1.30 Field Review of Branch Offices	
1.30.1 Field Review Items	
1.30.3 Prohibited Branch Arrangements (HUD)	
1.30.4 Mortgage Insurance Endorsement Process	
1.30.5 Post Endorsement Technical Reviews	
1.30.6 Reporting of Loan Sales Process	
1.31 Termination of Insurance Process	
1.32 Escrow Reporting	
1.33 Record Retention Guidelines	
1.34 Fraud Prevention – Red Flag Process	72
1.34.1 Fraud "Red Flags" Checklist	73
1.34.3 Origination Fraud Watch	
1.34.31 Loan Characteristics	
1.34.4 Red Flag Resources We Utilize	
1.40 – Anti-Money Laundering and SAR Reporting	
1.41 AML and Mortgage Lending – No Currency Transactions	78
1.42 BSA/AML, OFAC and Designated SAR Reporting Personnel	
BSA/AML Primary Manager	
OFAC Manager	
Reporting Individuals with Access to FinCen	
1.45 USA PATRIOT Act Compliance – Customer Identification	
1.45.1 Minimum Information Required	
1.46 Review of Procedures	
1.47 Third Party Transactions and Vendors	
1.48 Employee Training Program	
1.50 AML Red Flags	
1.51 Transactional and Account Activity Red Flags Checklist	
1.52 Health Care Professionals and Fraud	
1.53 Mortgage Servicing Fraud/Fraud Red Flags	
1.55 Money Laundering in Residential Real Estate	86

Additional Due Diligence for High Intensity Areas	86
1.53 Self-Employment and Money Laundering	
1.52.1 Self-Employment Money Laundering Red Flags	87
1.52.2 General Red Flags	88
1.53 Red Flag Business Types	90
1.53.1 Cash Intensive Businesses	
1.60 SAR Reporting	91
1.61 SAR Reporting Workflow	
1.62 Thresholds for Reporting Findings	92
1.65 SAR Completion Process	93
1.65.1 Manual SAR Filing	93
1.65.3 Management Notification of SAR Filings	94
1.65.4 SAR Record Retention and Supporting Documentation	94
1.65.5 Prohibition of SAR Disclosure	
1.65.6 Beyond SAR, Additional Notification - Law Enforcement and Regulatory	95

1.10 Introduction

1.10.1 Our Quality Control Plan

Government agencies and secondary market investors expect originating lenders to have established quality control procedures to insure the integrity of their loans. At Company Name, our goal is to accurately and routinely monitor a significant percentage of our origination, and to make adjustments to our operations based upon the results of our audits.

To that end, following is our quality control plan, which we have adopted to insure the quality of the loans we deliver to our investors so that we may continue to enjoy a mutually profitable relationship. In addition, our goal is to achieve 100% compliance with Federal, State and Local regulatory requirements.

1.10.2 Objective

The overall objective of any spot check system is to accurately measure the basic quality of the product against predetermined standards. The specific objectives of the spot check system are to test the authenticity of different documents that are used in the credit evaluation process. Logically, a prudent credit decision cannot be made if the information used in the decision process is inaccurate.

The second goal of the spot check system is to test for the compliance with industry standards used to process the loan transaction. It is not the purpose of the system to completely reprocess and/or re-verify all of the information contained on every credit document. The purpose of the system is to choose the most important documents and to ask specific questions aimed at uncovering unacceptable practices, procedures or incorrect documentation.

1.10.3 Definitions – Broker/Correspondent – Underwriting and Review

There are conflicting interests when dealing with mortgage quality control plans, the quality control process of a wholesale lender and the quality control process of the mortgage broker. Clearly, mortgage brokers do not make loan underwriting decisions, or funding decisions, but must still participate in the post closing review of loans. The determination of deficiencies, fraud, or other errors discovered in a post closing review must be addressed by BOTH the mortgage broker and the mortgage lender.

1.10.4 Pre-Closing and Post-Closing Review Process for Correspondents/Brokers

Within the quality control plan there are multiple references to underwriting, funding, and mortgage insurance guarantees. The mortgage broker does not participate in underwriting or funding, but it must take a fiduciary approach to submitting loan files for underwriting review and documentation to facilitate closing.

As a consequence of the overall quality control process of loan files from "beginning to end" as required by 4060.1 REV-2, 7-7 Specific Elements for the Production Portion of the Quality Control Program, and 7-5 Quality Control from Beginning to End, mortgagees must evaluate the production and underwriting part of the business. Ultimately, correspondent/brokers will be evaluated on the basis of the lender's underwriting performance. It is our interpretation of the policy that the mortgage broker must "pre – underwrite" loan files against a checklist of the standard requirements. In this way, even though the correspondent or broker is not actually responsible for, or capable of, approving a mortgage application, the correspondent is responsible for ensuring documentation meets standard guidelines. In addition, this pre-review is the ideal environment for arresting fraud or documentation deficiencies prior to the loan closing.

Within our policy, we describe that we will review quality control review findings against the original underwriting decision to determine whether not the loan would have been made with the re-verified information. As correspondents and brokers we understand that we are not issuing approvals for insurance, approvals for loans, or other commitments.

1.10.5 Duplicative Post Closing Activities between Investors and Correspondents

When conducting post closing reviews, particularly those areas such as appraisals, that involve large expenses, it is in the interest of both the lender and the correspondent/broker to coordinate reviews. Duplicative requests for re-verification can delay the conclusion of the review, precluding aggressive enforcement action to prevent further incidences.

1.11 Quality Control and Management Personnel Identified in Plan

We have identified the following individuals who are responsible for the supervision, execution and implementation of the quality control program:

Employees Conducting Quality Control Reviews – Independent Reviewers/Auditors

"See Below for Outside Agency"

Quality Control Manager(s) for HUD and Investor Reporting

Insert QC Manager

Compliance Manager for Federal and State Law Compliance

Insert Compliance Manager

Branch Operations Manager(s) for Site Visit and Audit Coordination

Insert Operations Manager

Outside Agency - Support

Insert 3rd Party Provider

We reserve the right to substitute outside company's quality control reviewers, provided they follow our prescribed procedures.

<u>Section 1.20 – Post Closing Quality Control Review Program</u>

1.21 - Audit Coverage/Scope

All of the following areas will be addressed in the quality control review:

- Re-verification of Employment
- Re-verification of Deposits
- Back Up/In File Credit Report (RMCR if needed)
- Field/Site Review of Appraisals on Conventional and FHA Direct Endorsement loans (if no conditional commitment or CRV was issued)
- Review of Origination, Processing and (when applicable) Underwriting and Loan Closing procedures

1.21.1 Appraisal Audit Function

In compliance with the Appraiser Independence Rule, 10% of all appraisals are randomly selected for review. If we use an Appraisal Management Service (AMS), the AMS is responsible for providing third party review. If we do not use an AMS, the selection process will be conducted as part of our independent quality control audit process.

1.21.2 Federal Law Compliance Function

Among other items, the Compliance Audit will address:

- Assurance that Company Name is reporting to HUD-FHA under the Home Mortgage
 Disclosure Act; if required by volume and business nature; that the information being
 reported is accurate; all required information is being reported, and the information is
 reported promptly.
- A review of all Automated Underwriting refer/ineligible loans (DU, LP, TOTAL) and the final disposition for patterns of discrimination

•

_

<u> 1.22 – Selection Methodology</u>

Company Name selects ten percent (10%) per month of each branch's closed files (funded, declined or withdrawn). This includes a minimum of one closed loan and <u>one denied/rejected or withdrawn loan.</u> Defaulted loans will also be automatically selected for audit. This 10% sampling method also includes all 3-4-unit properties, pursuant to the Freddie Mac requirements.

Loans that are selected for a pre-funding audit may be included in the post closing review, but information re-verified in pre-funding audited loans does not need to be reverified in a post-closing audit.

If we close fewer than 10 loans annually, we must review at least one loan. If fewer than 15 loans are originated monthly, the 10% sampling requirement may be done on a quarterly basis.

1.22.1 FHA Loan Selection Criteria

Selection of sampling method:

We choose

- 10% of each loan type closed on a monthly basis (Includes 10% of FHA with emphasis on loans identified as higher risk as needed See "Selection Methodology" for how higher risk loans are to be identified.
- 10% of each loan type rejected or denied files on a monthly basis

If we use the "random sample" method, we may use our previous year's volume as a basis for the statistical sample and should factor in our error rate. If we use this system HUD or FHA may request documentation of statistical sampling method. Therefore, Company Name's management has chosen to use the 10% sampling method for our Quality Control reviews of all single-family mortgages. In accordance with HUD Guide 4060.1, we will assure that the random sampling is applied against FHA production.

1.22.2 Early Payment Default

Company Name's Quality Control personnel or designees will select for audit all loans that go into default within 12 months of closing

See Sampling Selection Tool for instruction.

1.22.3 Additional Selection Criteria

- Selection of loans for review from all branch offices
- Assurance that all staff loan officers and processors will have loans subjected to review. (When applicable, underwriters, closers and appraisers)

• A review of alternative documentation (those using non-standard documentation) loans. The requirements for those types of loans are stated in HUD/FHA's Mortgagee Letters 91-51 and 92-15.

•

• See Sampling Selection Tool for instruction.

1.22.4 "Higher Risk" Criteria Loans Selected by Quality Control

In addition to standard selection criteria, any of the following loan types, which are more likely to present a higher risk, will be targeted for audit.

- 2-4 unit properties;
- New construction or rehab loans:
- Properties transferred within less than 12 months;
- Home Equity Conversion Mortgages (HECM)

1.22.5 Higher Risk Transactions Selected by Credit Policy as Warranted

In addition, underwriting will flag cases with these aspects to evaluate whether they present additional risk

- Substantial seller concessions:
- Non-occupying co-mortgagors or multiple mortgagors;
- Housing expenses increasing by 1.5 times or more;
- Large or multiple earnest money deposits (money orders);
- Large increase in bank account balance;
- Sale of personal property for funds to close;
- Gifts or loans of funds to close;
- Self-employed; and
- Loans risk assessed as "refers" by AUS, DU, LP, or TOTAL Scorecard.

1.22.6 Streamline Refinances

Streamline Refinances do not, by themselves, necessarily represent higher risk and should be selected within the standard sampling process. However, in periods of high refinance activity, these loans will represent a larger portion of production. When streamline refinances exceed 10% of production, we will ensure that each sampling includes Streamline Refinances.

Our review process on Streamline Refinances will be limited to the actual documentation required for this loan type, and verification that the loan was eligible for Streamline processing.

1.22.7 Special Handling for 203(k) Rehabilitation Transactions

203 (k) loans involve a process similar to a construction loan, with initial approval, a series of inspections and disbursements. When Company Name offers these mortgages we implement a Quality Control Review of the entire 203 (k) process to include:

- handling of rehabilitation escrows
- handling of disbursements
- review of rehabilitation loan agreements
- review of the scope of repairs
- timeliness of completion of repairs
- resolution of borrower complaints regarding quality of work

The approvals of 203 (k) contractors including counselors, consultants, inspectors, and appraisers are renewed annually.

1.22.8 Selection of Third Party Originator Transactions

Loans originated through wholesale channels will be selected for review using the same selection criteria as retail transactions.

Minimum selection will include at least 1 transaction per period for each, but no less than 10% of correspondent/Third Party Originator:

- Correspondent Relationship
- Branch within Relationship

1.22.81 Annual Review of Third Party Originators

We review third party originators annually. At this time, correspondents are required to provide evidence that they conduct their own internal reviews.

1.23 - Loan Sampling/Selection Procedure

1.23.1 Post Closing Audit Selection Procedure

The majority of our loan level audits are based on a random selection from our production reports.

Individual	Step	Description
Operations	Generate Pipeline	Generate Monthly Pipeline Using LOS Software
Manager/Processor	Report	Report
or Quality Control		Insert Columns for the Following Fields:
		 Property Type (Including 2-4)
		Transaction Type (Including New
		construction or rehab)
		Last Transfer of Title
		 Seller concessions;
		Non-occupying co-mortgagors or multiple
		mortgagors;
		 Current (Previous) Housing expenses
		Earnest Money Deposit
		 Source of Funds Down Payment
		 Employment (Self-employed)
		 "Refers" by AUS, DU, LP, or TOTAL
		Scorecard.
		Alt Doc
		Originator
		 Processor
		 Underwriter
		Branch
		 Underwriting Disposition/ECOA (Approved,
		Denied, Withdrawn)
Operations	Deliver List to	Quality Control Will Select Based on Selection
Manager	Quality Control	Checklist
Quality Control	Identify Loans for Au	
Operations	Initiate Audit Process	S
Manager		

1.23.2 Pipeline Selection Tool

Checklist-Pipeline Selection Tool
Step 1 - Review Full Pipeline Report for Period - Approved AND Denied/Withdrawn Step 2 - Identify ALL of the following Early payment default/Delinquency 2-4 unit properties; Correspondence from Investors/Regulators Insurance Endorssemul/Investor Purchase Rejection Neighborhood Watch New construction or rehab loans; Returned Mail Properties transferred within less than 12 months; Step 3 - Identify Loans will ANY of the following Characteristics Substantial seller concessions; Non-occupying co-mortagagors or multiple mortgagors; Housing expenses increasing by 1.5 times or more; Large increase in bank account balance; Sale of personal property for funds to close; Giffs or loans of funds to close; Giffs or loans of funds to close; Giffs or loans of funds to close; Originator All Doc Step 4 - Cross Reference Against Employees - Select at least one from each Originator Processor Underwriter Branch Step 5 - Review Selected Loans against pipeline - Minimum of 10% Approved Denied De
7/7/2011

1.24 Loan Level Quality Control Review Procedure

The Quality Control program needs to identify loans that could contain deficiencies as early in the closing and delivery process as possible. Company Name implements a system of Quality Reviews through the production process. To this end, the quality control process begins at application and or prior to closing.

1.24.1 Post Closing Review Process

Step	Responsible Party	Action
Select Loan From Pipeline	Branch Operations Manager, Quality Control	Select Loans For Audit Using the pipeline report and Selection Checklist, identify loans that are candidates for review. Create a copy file for each selected loan, label and fasten documentation using Quality Control File Checklist. Include the Quality Control File Checklist on the file cover and note date file assembled Documents Copied Loan Application (front and back of original handwritten applications (1003) Final Typed/Agency Applications (HUD 92900LT/VA 26-1802A, FNMA 1003) Credit report (including all supplements and updates only 1 copy is required) Purchase Agreement/Sales Contract. All Pages. FNMA Form 1008 92900LT (FHA only). Appraisal Report (All conventional and FHA Direct endorsement loans). Any other credit documents used in making an underwriting decision. IRS 4506 T Authorization Borrower's Certification and Authorization
Return File to Closing for Document Preparation, Closing and Funding, or shipping/delivery	Branch Operations Manager	If loan is selected from Pipeline for Review (Full Audit Pre-Funding) - The original file is returned to closing for document preparation, closing and funding. Operations personnel should take care not to alert production staff to the conduct of the specific audit. If Post-Funding Audit - return original file to Secondary, Shipping and Delivery for Delivery, Insure or Guarantee.

Step	Responsible Party	Action
Select Income	Branch	Verification of Employment
Documentation to be	Operations	(FHA/VA or Conventional)
Re-Verified and	Manager	It may not be necessary to re-verify all Verification of
method of Re-	Managor	Employment (such as previous employment) forms.
Verification		PVOE re-verification required for FHA (2 years)
Vormodilori		Full Documentation
		When the mortgage that is selected for review
		involved full underwriting documentation, the lender
		must obtain written re-verification of employment for
		current employment.
		Self-Employed Borrower
		There must be an IRS form 4506 – Request for a
		copy of tax form. This is the self-employed
		borrower's written permission to request copies of
		his or her federal income tax returns directly from
		the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) in case they
		were later needed for quality control review.
		Quality Control should decide whether to request the
		re-verification from the IRS based on the
		circumstances of the individual case.
Select Asset	Branch	IF Direct Verification of deposits forms were utilized
Documentation to be	Operations	in lieu of alternative documentation, Quality Control
Re-Verified and	Manager/Quality	should select the largest or primary sources of funds
method of Re-	Control	for the down payment and closing costs. In addition,
Verification		gift sources should be verified
Deliver the Request	Quality Control	Quality Control should send the following
for Re-Verification of	Support	documentation in connection with the re-verification
Income		request:
		The information request letter (exhibit 1)
		a copy of the original verification of employment
		form
Deliver the Request	Quality Control	Quality Control should send the following
for Re-Verification of	Support	documentation in connection with the re-verification
Deposit		request.
		The information request letter (exhibit 1)
		a copy of the original verification of deposit form

Step	Responsible Party	Action
Request "In-File" Credit Report	Quality Control Support	 An in file credit report is obtained on all loans reviewed. The new report is requested from a bureau other the one originally used Request a tri-merged in-file report The new report is inserted in the quality control spot check file. IF THE tri-merge indicates any discrepancies from the report in-file, QC is to order a FULL RMCR (Standard Factual) A log is maintained listing the date the report was run, last name of borrower, first name and type of report (individual or joint). A new standard factual report is ordered for 1 out of every 10 loans reviewed (10%). The procedure for ordering the standard factual report is as follows: Advise the credit bureau that no mortgagor interview is to be conducted. Request one written copy to be sent to quality control reviewer.
Request Review Appraisal (Not on streamline or HECM)	Quality Control Support Quality Control	Request Desk Review Every 10 th appraisal must be a field review. See Appraisal Order Log (Form 2000/2000E for FNMA) A field review of the appraisal is requested. FHA appraisers must be selected from approved panel https://entp.hud.gov/idapp/html/apprlook.cfm Care must be taken not to advise the appraiser that conducted the original review that an audit is being conducted. The request for review appraisal (exhibit 2) is used to request this. The lender must review the initial and final typed
Application	Manager	mortgage application for completeness and signatures. A copy of the initial application is sent to the borrower for his or her re-certification.
Follow Up Process	Quality Control Support	Once these items have been requested, follow-up should be conducted in approximately 2 weeks (See – Spot Check Status Report). Items should be re-requested if not received after 2 weeks Items not received after an additional 2 weeks should be followed up verbally

Step	Responsible	Action
	Party	
Quality Control Review – Credit Underwriting	Quality Control Manager / Corporate Underwriting	Once the information has been received, the independent reviewer should compare the results of the information submitted in the original file to the newly verified information to determine if there are significant deviations. If there are deviations, the file must be reunderwritten to determine whether an appropriate underwriting decision was made on the basis of the re-verified information.
Quality Control	Quality Control	Separate from the Credit Underwriting Review, the
Review – Compliance	Manager	Quality Control Manager, must review the file for compliance with regulatory guidelines. The Compliance Checklist – Exhibit 4 – may be used for this purpose.
Reporting Findings	Quality Control Manager / Senior Corporate Management	Audit results are reported directly to senior management. Regulatory Compliance Errors/Omissions Regulatory Compliance Errors are to be corrected immediately directly with the borrower(s) Management will examine whether the error was procedural, clerical, or the result of willful misrepresentation. If procedural omissions are noted, the origination system and modules are corrected; staff is briefed on actions to be taken to avoid future omissions. Fraud-Misrepresentation Any findings of Fraud, Misrepresentation or other irregularities result in expansion of audit to include all production of the related individuals Credit Underwriting Deficiencies Loans with significant deficiencies will be reviewed to determine whether the loan could have been made with the re-verified information. Information on significant omissions will be shared with the investor who purchased the loan to negotiate whether a repurchase is to be required.
Retention of Audit Findings	Quality Control	Audit findings are retained for 36 months.

1.24.2 - Post Closing Loan Level Quality Control Review Form and Findings Report

The Quality Control Review Checklist/Report is placed on top of the left side of the loan file and is used to document the progress of the Quality Control Review. Once all exhibits are assembled, it is used to review and identify deficiencies. All loan level reviews are combined into one report for a cumulative report/executive summary.

We use the LOS Software to aggregate this information into a database. Once the loan is closed, production can no longer access or manipulate the file data.

Quality Control Review	Form/Re	<u>eport</u>					
Date Closed:				FHA	VA	Conve	entional
Date Review Completion Due			(90 Days f	rom note da	ate)		
Date File Received				Agency Ca	se Number	:	
Date File Returned Loan Number Borrowers Name(s) Property Address							
Sales Price Loan Amount							
Checklist of Items Requested/Revi	iewec						
Item - List Name	1st	2nd	Phone	Date	Date		sults
Initial Application	Request	Request	Follow Up	Received	Reviewed	OK	No *
Final Application (Data Integrity)							
VOD							
VOD							
VOD							
VOD							
Mortgagor VOE							
PVOE							
Co-Mortgagor VOE							
PVOE							
Credit Report							
Owner Occupied							
Appraisal							
* If any of the results are "NO" - the le Date of Audit Auditor	oan must be	re-underwi	ritten to dete	ermine eligi	bility.		•
Findings							
Employment Stability		ood		rage	Fa		Poor
Ratios		Guidelines	Meets G	uidelines	Be	low Guideli	nes
List Compensating Factors Present it			A	rago	F-	sie.	Page
Credit History Available Cash Assets:		ood		rage rage	Fa Fa	air air	Poor Poor
, manage oddin nooto.	More than	Enough on settlement		gh to close		ft &/or cash	<u>' </u>
Overall Underwriting Review:		od		rage	Fa	air	Poor
Manual Underwrite	Refer O	verride?	Refer D	ecline?			
Analysis of Findings	Notes						
Serious - Fraud/Misrep							
Serious - Lien Perfection Procedural							
Compliance							
<u> </u>							

1.24.21 Quality Control File Order/Checklist

The QC File Order Checklist is placed on top of the right side of the Quality Control file. This ensures all documents are placed in the file in the correct order.

Dorra	
Borro\	ver.
Prope	rty:
Right	Side (Top to Bottom)
	Loan Application (front and back of original handwritten applications
_	(1003)
	Final Typed/Agency Applications (HUD 92900/VA 26-1802A, FNMA 1003) Credit report (including all supplements and updates - only 1 copy is
П	required) Purchase Agreement/Sales Contract. All Pages.
	FNMA Form 1008/HUD 92900-LT/Underwriting Worksheet
	Appraisal Report (All conventional and FHA Direct endorsement loans).
	IRS 4506/4506T
	Borrower's Certification and Authorization
	Income Documentation
	Asset Documentation Any other credit documents addressed in making the underwriting
ш	decision.
Left S	side (Top to Bottom)
	Quality Control Review Spot Check Form
	Copies of Re-verification Requests

1.24.22 Quality Control Credit Report Order Log

This document is used to log credit reports ordered in conjunction with the quality control review. Every 10^{th} report is to be ordered as an RMCR. Note: Full RMCR is ordered on EVERY loan with Fraud Findings.

Number	<u>Ordered</u>	Borrowers Last Name (s)	First Name(s)	Property Address	Type (Individual/ Joint)

1.24.23 Request for Re-verification of Income or Assets

This letter is completed and forwarded, with a copy of the original verification/documentation, to the original source of the verification.

	DATE:		
	DATE:		
	TO:	(Institution Completing Original Verification Request)	
	Re:	Verification of (Employment or Deposit)	
		Borrower(s):	
		Acct #:	
		(If applicable)	
	Dear:		
	As part of our normal quality control program we have enclosed a copy of a verification you provided which was used in our decision to grant a loan to the above referenced borrower. We would appreciate your re-verification of the original information provided to us. Please review the attached and determine if the information was accurate at the time the form was completed by our office. Please also verify that an appropriate individual in your office executed the form. Please indicate below any substantial variation in the information. If it was correct as of the date of preparation, please sign this form and return it in the envelope provided. Should you have any questions please call Quality Control at Your Company's Quality Control Number Thank you for your assistance.		
	Sincerely,		
	Quality Cont	rol Department	
	Reverification of	Employment or Deposit Letter.doc	

Are there any discrepancies of	on the attached form? YES NO				
If "yes" please describe:					
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
THE ATTA CHED MEDIC		CORRECT CORV			
OF THE ORIGINAL.	CATION RECEIVED IS A TRUE AND	CORRECT COPY			
SIGNATURE	DATE				
TITLE					

1.24.24 Appraisal Review Request

This letter is completed and forwarded with the original appraisal copy. Remember to Black Out the name of the original appraiser.

Date: _						
TO: _		(APPRAISER)				
Ā	ADDRESS					
RE:	(BORROWER(S) NAME)					
	(PROPERTY ADDRESS)					
LOAN #	:					
DEAR :						
captioned state on t	This is to request and authorize you to make a field appraisal for us on the above captioned property. Please perform an EXTERIOR EXAMINATION ONLY and clearly state on the appraisal report that the value is subject to interior considerations. DO NOT CONTACT THE OWNER. Enclosed is a copy of the initial appraisal.					
	Prior to assigning this review, please delete any reference to the identity of the original appraiser.					
Please m	ail your review directly back to me at the	ne above address.				
Your pro	Your prompt attention to this matter is greatly appreciated.					
Cordially	Cordially,					
QUALIT Company	TY CONTROL DEPARTMENT y Name					
Field Appro	aisal Request Letter					

1.40 – Anti-Money Laundering and SAR Reporting

The Bank Secrecy Act/Anti-Money Laundering (BSA/AML) regulations applicable to mortgage lenders deal with the detection and reporting of fraud. Among the many mortgage related scams FinCEN has identified are false statements, use of straw buyers, fraudulent flipping, flopping, and identity theft. Our general mortgage loan process is specifically designed to verify that no aspect of the mortgage transaction represents fraud for profit or property, and we have procedures in place at all stages of loan production to detect this type of activity.

Company Name's BSA/AML policy is designed to supplement our current anti-fraud procedures and provide guidance on additional required reporting responsibilities. Normally, our underwriting and review of documentation places the highest burden of substantiation on that documentation needed to meet underwriting guidelines. With this new regulation we must now extend the same level of review to areas of inquiry that we normally do not pursue, such as examining and reporting on the nature of an applicant's self-employment business and whether bank transactions represent potential money laundering activities.

In addition, customer facing personnel, such as loan originators, processors, customer service representatives and branch personnel must actively survey customers' behavior to ascertain whether Company Name has become a target for potential schemes.

The new regulations likely will significantly increase the number of mortgage related SAR filings; give law enforcement and regulators more comprehensive data on specific crimes; and provide government and industry a more complete perspective on mortgage related crime trends nationwide.

1.41 AML and Mortgage Lending - No Currency Transactions

As mortgage lenders and correspondents, we do not process currency transactions, so we limit the scope of our policy to the fraud detection and elements of money laundering which present themselves to our process in the course of our daily business. This generally limits us to customer verification documentation and information reported in the application process.

1.42 BSA/AML, OFAC and Designated SAR Reporting Personnel

Company Name establishes and maintains a list of individuals who are responsible for monitoring and reporting.

BSA/AML Primary Manager

Insert BSA Manager

OFAC Manager

Insert OFAC Manager

Reporting Individuals with Access to FinCen

Authorized to access and report to FinCen's Electronic SAR portal.

Insert Employees with FINCEN access.

1.45 USA PATRIOT Act Compliance - Customer Identification

The USA PATRIOT Act requirements are incorporated into our AML/BSA compliance policies and procedures. As part of our day-to-day business we comply with the PATRIOT Act by insuring that we positively identify each of our customers when they initiate an application with us.

1.45.1 Minimum Information Required

At a minimum, Company Name obtains the following identifying information from each customer before opening the account:

- Name
- Date of birth for individuals
- Address
- Identification number

•

We utilize normally acceptable standards for reviewing photographic documentation that we expect all customers to provide:

 an unexpired government-issued form of identification – including evidence of a customer's nationality or residence and bear a photograph such as a driver's license or passport.

If the loan process reveals fraud red flags associated with the customer, we may request additional identification verification

1.45.2 Disbarred Participants and Watch Lists

In addition to standard verification, if circumstances warrant, we also compare our customers against government watch lists, such as LDP, OFAC and others, as part of our production quality control plan.

1.46 Review of Procedures

Our production quality control programs and post-closing random loan selection and review provide insight as to whether our procedures are being followed.

As we improve our experience with AML/BSA risk analysis we will continue to add to and improve our checklists of items to review in our assessment of loan level and systemic risks. Please see our Quality Control and Fraud Prevention policies and procedures for details on all elements of risk prevention.

1.47 Third Party Transactions and Vendors

Every item that is received from a third party or Vendor is scrutinized to the same extent as directly originated documents. We will not rely on the warranties of third parties in order to establish compliance.

We add new vendors through our Vendor/Third Party Approval and Renewal Process. As part of this process we require certification of an Information Security and AML program.

1.48 Employee Training Program

At the time of new hire, and as part of the annual review process, we require evidence of annual BSA/AML training. If the individual is a licensed employee, such as a state-regulated loan originator, and we can ascertain BSA/AML training through the licensing training process, this employee may be exempt from our internal training requirement.

Please see our Operations/Human Resources policies and procedures for details on hiring and annual reviews.

1.50 AML Red Flags

Based on research by the Egmont Group and surveys of FIU's (Financial Intelligence Units) in Action, and from the FinCen repository of cases, use these checklists to identify items that may represent evidence of Money Laundering activity.

1.51 Transactional and Account Activity Red Flags Checklist

A single instance of a red flag is not, by itself, an indication of suspicious or illegal activity. However, each instance should be investigated and properly explained. When there is a Red Flag on any level, the entire array of Fraud/AML Red Flag checklists should be deployed against the loan level case to determine if there are any patterns of illegal behavior that require further investigation or reporting.

Account and Transactional Activity Checklist
Business checks written to individuals versus legitimate suppliers and cashed at the
banks where the checks originated versus being deposited into another businesses bank
account.
Business checks written to cash on a regular basis in amounts that far exceed a business'
petty cash requirement.
Multiple checks on the same day (to cash or otherwise) consistently written in amounts
less than \$10,000, possibly to avoid reporting requirements, despite the fact that checks
would not normally generate Currency Transaction Reports.
Multiple money orders in increments of \$500 or less deposited into bank accounts where
the remitter of the money order is the same as the authorized signers on the bank
accounts for which the checks are being deposited.
Cash deposits related to the questionable financial activities involved currency in \$100
denominations.
Fraudulent use of debit/gift cards, which are also referred to as stored value cards.
Cashier's checks obtained from U.S. banks and tendered at foreign banks.
Large bank wire transfers in exchange for product shipped via interstate Commerce
A business account established with a small bank which in turn has funds moved to an
account at a larger bank.
An individual or a company maintains multiple bank accounts with the same financial
institution and conducts transfers between the accounts that do not have an apparent
reason or business purpose.
A business account has no transactions for expected business related expenses (i.e.,
payroll, vendor payments, or utility expenses.)
An account has a change in ownership followed by a significant increase in account
activity.
A business customer suddenly changes its mailing address to a P.O. Box, residential
address or other nondescript address (which could be a sign of account takeover.)
A fraudulent company is created and named similarly to a legitimate company with the
intent of using fraudulent checks meant to pass as checks drawn by the legitimate
company.
Use of cashier's checks in lieu of checks or EFT to pay for goods, or make other
payments from the account.
Purchases of money orders in even dollar amounts which are made payable to a third
party that does not appear to be business related.
A business account has expenses that appear personal in nature (for vacations, purchase
of goods or services).
Checks made payable to the "IRS" which are intended to look like payments to the

Internal Revenue Service (e.g., a fraudulent company that is using the initials "IRS" in its name.)
The only checks written against the account are to the account signer or other companies affiliated with the account signer.
Significant withdrawals made from an ATM.

1.52 Health Care Professionals and Fraud

Established lending practices have indicated that Medical Professionals and Physicians are a desirable class of borrower. Health Care professionals present a high statistical probability of repayment and potential for future income and wealth growth. This desire to serve this sector can be exploited by fraudsters. When we entertain loan requests from Health Care professionals and associated businesses, we must examine the file for evidence of Health Care Fraud Red Flags.

Health Care/AML Red Flags
A personal account is receiving large dollar electronic funds transfers (EFTs) into the
account and has large, even dollar payments going out of the account.
A business account is established using an address that is shared by other companies,
sometimes with the same owner or account signer listed.
Multiple companies with similar names are located at the same address and share the
same owner or account signer.
A company switches from a business model not related to the health care industry to one
which is related.
The owner of a business is not the account signer. The account signer(s) for the business
is not listed on any paperwork for the company.
The account is located in a state other than where the doctor/company providing the
service or receiving payment is located.
Transfers are conducted from several apparently unrelated companies to the same one or
two companies, which are in turn sending funds back to another account, and there is
reason to believe that there may be common owners among the companies.
The company is billing the Medicare program for multiple products/services (which are, in
practice, provided by separate contractors under the Medicare program.)
An account opened with a minimum deposit that had no activity or minimal activity over an
extended period of time suddenly begins to receive large or frequent deposits from a
Medicare contractor.
Checks drawn on a health care related business account, which in turn are being cashed
at a check cashing service.
Hard-copy checks are received into an account as Medicare payments, when the
standard form of payment is EFT.
EFT deposits from Medicare immediately followed by a withdrawal for exactly the same
amount by either check, wire transfer, cash withdrawal or ATM withdrawal within a day of
the deposit.
Checks from Medicare and/or HMO plans are endorsed over to a third party and either
deposited into an account with no affiliation to the health care industry or cashed at a
check cashing business.
Checks from Medicare, Medicaid or an HMO deposited into accounts with no affiliation to
the health care industry (such as a liquor store.)
Financial transactions (wires, checks, etc.) with companies not involved in health care
(automobile dealers, liquor stores, restaurants, etc.) Companies that allegedly provide durable medical equipment but show no expense
transactions related to the purchase of those goods AND are billing Medicare or an HMO
for supplying the goods.
l tot supplying the goods.

1.53 Mortgage Servicing Fraud/Fraud Red Flags

Servicing Consultant Fraud Red Flags		
Mortgage payments stop being made. Mortgage payments abruptly stop with no contact		
from the homeowner and/or default occurs on the mortgage within a month or two after		
the loan is made.		
The foreclosure process is stayed by a bankruptcy filing. The filing of the bankruptcy case		
may be in tandem with the sudden failure to make regular mortgage payments.		
The debtor in the bankruptcy case that stayed the foreclosure is not the borrower.		
The debtor does not disclose a fractional interest and/or other ownership in real property		
in his/her bankruptcy documents. Failure to disclose such interests may indicate a		
fractional interest or property transfer scheme.		
Serial bankruptcy cases are filed and/or numerous lenders file motions seeking relief from		
the automatic stay to proceed with foreclosure and/or eviction actions. Where the		
perpetrators file serial bankruptcy cases, especially those involving fractional interest		
schemes, financial institutions should expect to see other lenders filing motions seeking		
relief from the bankruptcy automatic stay as well.		